

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/782,416	02/18/2004	Heinz Hettmann	A 91 936/Ir	6742	
75	90 02/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES  PHAM, MINH C			CHAU THI		
Suite B 707 Highway 66	5 East		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tijeras, NM 8			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1L
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/782,416	HETTMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
· <u> </u>	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		-	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parie Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Apiority documents have been received in Apiority documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/18/04.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Brown et al (5,484,466), Mullins et al (5,556,40) and Wake et al (6,383,244 B1).

Brown et al disclose an air filter apparatus having 2 filter elements (30 and 31) and a sealing member (40, 41, Fig. 5, col. 3, line 50 through col. 4, line 63, col. 6, lines 12-27) formed on the main filter and the other filter is fixedly connected to the sealing member. Mullins et al disclose an air filter apparatus having 2 filter elements (30 and 38) and a sealing member (36) made of molded polyurethane foam rubber material (col. 6, lines 4-7, col. 7, lines 53-57) formed on the main filter and the other filter is fixedly connected to the sealing member (col. 9, lines 31-55). Wake et al disclose an air filter

Art Unit: 1724

apparatus having 2 filter elements (24 and 48) and a sealing member made of soft compressible urethane material (col. 1, lines 45-49) formed on the main filter and the other filter is fixedly connected to the sealing member (col. 2, line 54 through col. 3, line 5). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a sealing member for the 2 filters as taught by any one of Brown et al, Mullins et al and Wake et al since the sealing member provides tight sealing preventing any by-pass flow which might exit without passing through the filters.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Ager et al (5,415,677) disclose an air filter with radial and axial sealing.
- Dungs et al (5,730,769) disclose an air filter with scaling bead.
- Miller et al (6,099,606) disclose an air filtration.
- Greif et al (6,572,667 B1) disclose a filter system.
- Carle et al (6,602,308 B1) disclose an air filter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (371) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,416

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

**January 27, 2005**